Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/754,20(4/5) (BOTH)	GELBER ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Brett T Ozga	1651
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):		
(1) Brett T Ozga.	(3) Thomas Pou	·ers
(2) Jean Witz	(3) Thomas Pour (4) Terry Kra	rer
Date of Interview: 6/12/01	V	
Type: a)☐ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference c)☑ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant 2)☑ applicant's representative]		
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☑ No. If Yes, brief description:		
Claim(s) discussed: Method Claims		
Identification of prior art discussed:		
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.		
reached, or any other comments: See below Oral Election of Species for naracetics (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) Will elect by place of the paragraph of the substance of the interview of the substance of the interview of the interview of the substance of the substance of the interview of the substance of th		
STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet. (Sp. 69) Revuluate rej. Notracertical - one biological pathway (Nor masking)		
> More evidence needed to overcome print tail of the state of the stat		
(5) a Similar discussion -> Also, election of species [clm 72?] + Ex show reduction of need? Nutracetical elected in Clm 69 of (4), Clm 72 of (5)		
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.	Examiner's signa	
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-413 (Rev. 03- 98) Interv	iew Summary	Paper No. 4: